Functional & Event Driven

another approach to domain modeling

Debasish Ghosh

@debasishg on Twitter

code @ http://github.com/debasishg

blog @ Ruminations of a Programmer <u>http://debasishg.blogspot.com</u>



Agenda

- Domain Models
- Why Functional Domain Models
- Event based architectures Event Sourcing
- Duality between functional models & even based models
- A sample event sourced domain model implementation

Domain Modeling

- We limit ourselves strictly to how the domain *behaves* internally and how it responds to events that it receives from the *external context*
- We think of a domain model as being comprised of the core granular abstractions that handle the business logic and a set of coarser level services that interacts with the external world

Why Functional ?

- Pure with localization of side-effects
- Ability to reason about your model
- Expressive & Declarative
- Immutable

A Functional Domain Model

- Built on (mostly) pure abstractions
- Pure functions to model domain behaviors
- Immutable artifacts
- .. and you get some parallelism for free

Immutability

- Algebraic Data Types for representation of domain entities
- Immutable structures like type-lenses for functional updates
- No in-place mutation

Representation as ADTs

case class Trade(account: Account, instrument: Instrument, refNo: String, market: Market, unitPrice: BigDecimal, quantity: BigDecimal, tradeDate: Date = Calendar.getInstance.getTime, valueDate: Option[Date] = None, taxFees: Option[List[(TaxFeeId, BigDecimal)]] = None, netAmount: Option[BigDecimal] = None) {

```
override def equals(that: Any) =
    refNo == that.asInstanceOf[Trade].refNo
    override def hashCode = refNo.hashCode
```

}

Representation as ADTs

// various tax/fees to be paid when u do a trade
sealed trait TaxFeeId extends Serializable
case object TradeTax extends TaxFeeId
case object Commission extends TaxFeeId
case object VAT extends TaxFeeId

Pure functions as domain behaviors

// get the list of tax/fees applicable for this trade
// depends on the market
val forTrade: Trade => Option[List[TaxFeeId]] = {trade =>
 taxFeeForMarket.get(trade.market) <+> taxFeeForMarket.get(Other)
}

Pure functions as domain behaviors

```
// enrich a trade with tax/fees and compute net value
val enrichTrade: Trade => Trade = {trade =>
val taxes = for {
   taxFeeIds <- forTrade // get the tax/fee ids for a trade
   taxFeeValues <- taxFeeCalculate // calculate tax fee values
   }
   yield(taxFeeIds map taxFeeValues)
   val t = taxFeeLens.set(trade, taxes(trade))
   netAmountLens.set(t,
    t.taxFees.map(_.foldl(principal(t))((a, b) => a + b._2)))
}
```

Pure functions as domain behaviors

```
// combinator to value a tax/fee for a specific trade
val valueAs:
  Trade => TaxFeeId => BigDecimal = {trade => tid =>
  ((rates get tid) map (_ * principal(trade)))
     getOrElse (BigDecimal(0))
}
// all tax/fees for a specific trade
val taxFeeCalculate:
  Trade => List[TaxFeeId] => List[(TaxFeeId, BigDecimal)] = {t =>
tids =>
  tids zip (tids map valueAs(t))
}
```

Composability

Secret sauce ? Functions compose. We can pass functions as first class citizens in the paradigm of functional programming. We can use them as return values and if we can make them pure we can treat them just as mathematically as you would like to.

Updating a Domain Structure functionally

- A Type-Lens is a data structure that sets up a bidirectional transformation between a set of source structures S and target structures T
- A Type-Lens is set up as a pair of functions:
 - get S -> T
 - putBack (S X T) -> S

A Type Lens in Scala

a function that takes a trade and returns it's reference no

a function that updates a trade with a supplied reference no

Lens under Composition

- What's the big deal with a Type Lens ?
 - Lens compose and hence gives you a cool syntax to update nested structures within an ADT

```
def addressL: Lens[Person, Address] = ...
def streetL: Lens[Address, String] = ...
val personStreetL: Lens[Person, String] =
   streetL compose addressL
```

Lens under composition

Using the personStreetL lens we may access or set the (indirect) street property of a Person instance

val str: String =
 personStreetL get person

val newP: Person =
 personStreetL set (person, "Bob_St")

Functional updates using type lens

```
// change ref no
val refNoLens: Lens[Trade, String] =
  Lens((t: Trade) => t.refNo,
       (t: Trade, r: String) => t.copy(refNo = r))
// add tax/fees
val taxFeeLens: Lens[Trade, Option[List[(TaxFeeId, BigDecimal)]]] =
  Lens((t: Trade) => t.taxFees,
       (t: Trade, tfs: Option[List[(TaxFeeId, BigDecimal)]]) =>
t.copy(taxFees = tfs))
// add net amount
val netAmountLens: Lens[Trade, Option[BigDecimal]] =
  Lens((t: Trade) => t.netAmount,
       (t: Trade, n: Option[BigDecimal]) => t.copy(netAmount = n))
// add value date
val valueDateLens: Lens[Trade, Option[Date]] =
```

```
Lens((t: Trade) => t.valueDate,
```

```
(t: Trade, d: Option[Date]) => t.copy(valueDate = d))
```

Managing States

- But domain objects don't exist in isolation
- Need to interact with other objects
- .. and respond to events from the external world
- .. changing from one state to another

A day in the life of a Trade object



What's the big deal ?

All these sound like changing states of a newly created Trade object !!



• but ..

- Changing state through in-place mutation is destructive
- We lose temporality of the data structure
- The fact that a Trade is enriched with tax/ fee NOW does not mean it was not valued at 0 tax SOMETIME BACK

What if we would like to have our system rolled back to THAT POINT IN TIME ?



We are just being lossy



- The solution is to keep information in such a way that we have EVERY BIT OF DETAILS stored as the object changes from one state to another
- Enter Event Sourcing

Event Sourcing

- Preserves the temporality of the data structure
- Represent state NOT as a mutable object, rather as a sequence of <u>domain events</u> that took place right from the creation till the current point in time
- Decouple the state of the object from its identity. The state changes over time, identity is IMMUTABLE

Domain Events as Behaviors



persisted with timestamp tl

.. and since we store every event that hits the system we have the ability to recreate ANY previous state of the system starting from ANY point in time in the past

Events and States

sealed trait TradingEvent extends Event

case object NewTrade extends TradingEvent
case object EnrichTrade extends TradingEvent
case object AddValueDate extends TradingEvent
case object SendOutContractNote extends TradingEvent

sealed trait TradeState extends State

case object Created extends TradeState
case object Enriched extends TradeState
case object ValueDateAdded extends TradeState

The Current State

- How do you reflect the current state of the domain object ?
 - start with the initial state
 - manage all state transitions
 - persist all state transitions
 - maintain a snapshot that reflects the current state
 - you now have the ability to roll back to any earlier state

The essence of Event Sourcing

Store the events in a durable repository. They are the lowest level granular structure that model the actual behavior of the domain. You can always recreate any state if you store events since the creation of the domain object.

The Duality

- Event Sourcing keeps a trail of all events that the abstraction has handled
- Event Sourcing does not ever mutate an existing record
- In functional programming, data structures that keep track of their history are called persistent data structures. Immutability taken to the next level
- An immutable data structure does not mutate data - returns a newer version every time you update it

Event Sourced Domain Models

- Now we have the domain objects receiving domain events which take them from state A to state B
- Will the Trade object have all the event handling logic ?
- What about state changes ? Use the Trade object for this as well ?

Separation of concerns

- The Trade object has the core business of the trading logic. It manifests all state changes in terms of what it contains as data
- But event handling and managing state transitions is something that belongs to the service layer of the domain model

Separation of Concerns

- The service layer
 - receives events from the context
 - manages state transitions
 - delegates to Trade
 object for core
 business
 - notifies other subscribers

- The core domain layer
 - implements core trading functions like calculation of value date, trade valuation, tax/fee handling etc
 - completely oblivious of the context

The Domain Service Layer

- Handles domain events and delegates to someone who logs (sources) the events
- May need to maintain an in-memory snapshot of the domain object's current state
- Delegates persistence of the snapshot to other subscribers like Query Services

CQRS

- The service layer ensures a complete decoupling of how it handles updates (commands) on domain objects and reads (queries) on the recent snapshot
- Updates are persisted as events while queries are served from entirely different sources, typically from read slaves in an RDBMS



In other words, the domain service layer acts as a state machine

Making it Explicit

- Model the domain service layer as an FSM (Finite State Machine) - call it the TradeLifecycle, which is totally segregated from the Trade domain object
- .. and let the FSM run within an actor model based on asynchronous messaging
- We use Akka's FSM implementation

FSM in Akka

- Actor based
 - available as a mixin for the Akka actor
 - similar to Erlang gen_fsm implementation
 - as a client you need to implement the state transition rules using a declarative syntax based DSL, all heavy lifting done by Akka actors





State change - functionally

```
// closure for adding a value date
val addValueDate: Trade => Trade = {trade =>
valueDateLens.set(trade, ..)
}
```

pure referentially transparent implementation

Notifying Listeners

 A typical use case is to send updates to the Query subscribers as in CQRS

• The query is rendered from a separate store which needs to be updated with all changes that go on in the domain model



Notifications in Akka FSM

```
trait FSM[S, D] extends Listeners {
  //..
}
                Listeners is a generic trait to implement
                   listening capability on an Actor
                       trait Listeners {self: Actor =>
                         protected val listeners = ...
                         //..
                         protected def gossip(msg: Any) =
                            listeners foreach (_ ! msg)
                         //..
                       }
```

Event Logging

- Log asynchronously
- Persist the current state along with the data at this state
- Log the event e

Event Logging

```
case class EventLogEntry(entryId: Long,
    objectId: String,
    inState: State,
    withData: Option[Any], event: Event)
```

```
trait EventLog extends Iterable[EventLogEntry] {
  def iterator: Iterator[EventLogEntry]
  def iterator(fromEntryId: Long): Iterator[EventLogEntry]
  def appendAsync(id: String, state: State,
     data: Option[Any], event: Event): Future[EventLogEntry]
}
```



Log Anywhere

Order preserving logging

```
, //..
```

```
akka {
    actor {
        timeout = 20
        my-pinned-dispatcher {
           executor = "thread-pool-executor"
           type = PinnedDispatcher
        }
    }
}
```

```
class Logger(clients: RedisClientPool) extends Actor {
  implicit val format =
    Format {case l: EventLogEntry => serializeEventLogEntry(l)}
 implicit val parseList =
    Parse[EventLogEntry](deSerializeEventLogEntry(_))
 def receive = {
    case LogEvent(id, state, data, event) =>
      val entry = EventLogEntry(RedisEventLog.nextId(), id, state,
data, event)
      clients.withClient {client =>
        client.lpush(RedisEventLog.logName, entry)
      }
      sender ! entry
    //..
 }
                                             logger is an actor that
 //..
                                                 pushes event
                                                data into Redis
```

def appendAsync(id: String, state: State, data: Option[Any], event: Event): Future[EventLogEntry] =

(logger ? LogEvent(id, state, data, event))
.asInstanceOf[Future[EventLogEntry]]



```
class TradeLifecycle(trade: Trade, timeout: Duration,
 log: Option[EventLog])
 extends Actor with FSM[TradeState, Trade] {
 import FSM._
 startWith(Created, trade)
                                                                       domain service as
                                                                     a Finite State Machine
 when(Created) {
    case Event(e@AddValueDate, data) =>
                                                                          declarative
      log.map(_.appendAsync(data.refNo, Created, Some(data), e))
                                                                          actor based
     val trd = addValueDate(data)
                                                                         asynchronous
     gossip(trd)
     goto(ValueDateAdded) using trd forMax(timeout)
                                                                         event sourced
 }
 when(ValueDateAdded) {
    case Event(StateTimeout, _) =>
      stay
   case Event(e@EnrichTrade, data) =>
      log.map(_.appendAsync(data.refNo, ValueDateAdded, None, e))
     val trd = enrichTrade(data)
     gossip(trd)
     goto(Enriched) using trd forMax(timeout)
 }
  //..
```

```
// 1. create the state machine
val tlc =
   system.actorOf(Props(
        new TradeLifecycle(trd, timeout.duration, Some(log))))
// 2. register listeners
tlc ! SubscribeTransitionCallBack(qry)
```

```
// 3. fire events
tlc ! AddValueDate
tlc ! EnrichTrade
val future = tlc ? SendOutContractNote
```

```
// 4. wait for result
finalTrades += Await.result(future, timeout.duration)
        .asInstanceOf[Trade]
```

```
// check query store
val f = qry ? QueryAllTrades
val qtrades = Await.result(f,timeout.duration)
                .asInstanceOf[List[Trade]]
```

// query store in sync with the in-memory snapshot
qtrades should equal(finalTrades)

Summary

- Event sourcing is an emerging trend in architecting large systems
- Focuses on immutability and hence plays along well with functional programming principles
- Event stores are append only hence n locks, no synchronization - very fast at the event storage layer

Summary

- Complicated in-memory snapshot may have some performance overhead, but can be managed with a good STM implementation
- In designing your domain model based on event sourcing, make your core model abstractions meaty enough while leaving the service layer with the responsibilities of managing event handling and state transitions

Thank You!